


**ACT! for America**

**2010 Federal Candidate Questionnaire**

**Candidate Name:** Bruce Brown

**Candidate's Political Party:** Republican

**Candidate's Signature:** 

**Office Sought:** California U.S. House District 35

Please print or type all information.

1. It is becoming increasingly evident that American dependence on foreign oil, especially oil from countries that support and export terrorism and radical Islamic ideology, poses a growing threat to our national security. There has been considerable discussion of alternative energy such as wind and solar, but neither provide any significant solution for the liquid energy needs of our transportation sector, at least for the foreseeable future. Would you support or oppose each of the following proposals to help move America's transportation sector away from foreign oil dependence and thus better protect our national security?

- a. Open Fuel Standard legislation, which would require, within five years, 50% of new automobiles, and within seven years, 80% of new automobiles, sold in the United States, be flex-fuel vehicles warranted to operate on gasoline, ethanol, and methanol, or be warranted to operate on biodiesel.

Support                       Oppose                       Undecided

Comments:

We spend too much time on diversions from the problem – this nation must have an immediate, robust strategy to stop oil imports by producing our own in sufficient volume to supply cars getting 1mpg if we want them – this America!!

- 
- b. A presidential Executive Order mandating conversion of the federal automotive fleet from gasoline to domestically-produced natural gas.

Support                       Oppose                       Undecided

Comments: see above comment – we have to keep energy dollars home period and stop tinkering – if NG conversion makes sense, it will make sense.

**ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page two...**

2. American companies are prohibited from doing business in or with nations that have been determined to be sponsors of terrorism. However, some federal and state public pension funds, partially funded with tax dollars, invest in foreign companies that do business in and with terrorist-sponsoring countries. Would you support or oppose legislation that would prohibit federal pension funds from investing in foreign companies that do business in and with terrorist-sponsoring countries?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: They should invest in America

---

3. Islamic sharia law, as applied in various Islamic countries, includes provisions that a woman’s testimony in court is only equal to half a man’s testimony; that criticism of Islam or the prophet Mohammed is a criminal offense; that adulterers can be stoned to death; that men can have multiple wives; and that men can marry girls as young as age nine. Great Britain currently has an estimated 85 sharia courts, and there are now some Muslims in America calling for sharia law to be implemented here. Would you support or oppose the application of sharia law in civil and criminal actions in the United States?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: I can’t believe this is a question – any American even proposing such an accommodation is not fit for office and it should be grounds for impeachment.

---

4. Some American banks and investment firms are participating in an Islamic form of financing known as “Sharia-Compliant Financing.” Under the rules of Sharia-Compliant Financing, a bank or investment firm is required to have a Sharia advisory board that dictates where and how funds are invested. The bank or investment firm is also required to give a percentage of its profits to Muslim charities as directed by the sharia advisory board, which raises concerns because numerous Muslim charities

have been identified by the federal government as having ties to terrorist organizations. Would you support or oppose a government investigation of Sharia-Compliant Financing to determine if it violates federal treasury, banking, securities and/or anti-terrorism laws?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: These people have lost their friggin minds

---

5. Would you support or oppose amending U.S. humanitarian refugee law to (1) require all humanitarian refugees to swear under oath that they will not support or advocate a theological totalitarian form of government to replace our constitutional government, and (2) require the deportation of any refugee who violated this oath?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments:

---

6. Iran continues its march toward the development of nuclear weaponry, and it is becoming increasingly likely that Iran will acquire nuclear weapons in the very near future. If diplomacy and sanctions fail to “persuade” Iran to end its drive to develop nuclear weapons, would you support the use of targeted military force to cripple Iran’s nuclear weapons program before it is capable of using or exporting such weapons of mass destruction?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: One of the problems we have is that it is not about the tactics as described in your survey – it is about the people who are capable of action – the question should be worded: are you willing to take action against Iran in whatever form is necessary to secure American and world security? (yes)

---

7. In 2008 a police officer who ticketed a Muslim was threatened with a lawsuit from an Islamic organization claiming discrimination. Would you support or oppose legislation protecting first responders from such lawsuits filed by organizations known to be consorting with or providing support to terrorist organizations, or who have been indicted as a conspirator or co-conspirator with terrorist organizations?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: A law is not the answer – we need a group of lawyers on our side who go after such lawsuits and make sure the people filing them never do it again. We need action. Murder is against the law but it still happens.

---

8. The United States currently uses the prison at the U.S. Guantanamo Bay Naval Base in Cuba to house enemy combatants and other terrorists who threaten our nation. President Obama made the closure of this modern and secure facility one of his top priorities, though it has not yet been closed. Do you support or oppose the closure of the Guantanamo Bay Detention Facility and the transfer of its detainees to prisons in the U.S.?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: Closing GITMO is suicide.

---

9. Do you support or oppose trying enemy combatants in civilian, federal courts, instead of in military tribunals?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: Federal Court is wrongheaded.

---

10. The Obama administration has deleted terms such as “jihadist” and “radical Islam” from its strategic assessments of the ongoing Islamist terrorist threat facing the

United States. Do you support or oppose this revised definition of this threat?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: the problem is Obama, not the label

11. There is little dispute that those who want to build a mosque at “Ground Zero” have the legal right to do so, should they successfully comply with all applicable statutes and codes. Do you support or oppose the wisdom of this decision by the developers to build this mosque within the perimeter of “Ground Zero?”

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: I do not accept they have a legal right – if Kelo is constitutional, so is denying the an ugly building that generates no revenue.

---

12. Do you support or oppose efforts to secure our southern border and to more effectively track all non-U.S. citizens who enter our country through the range of visa programs this nation offers?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: Tired of this Democrat party yet?

---

13. According to the Pew Hispanic Center, 1 in every 12 babies born in the United States have at least one parent whose presence here is illegal. This year a number of media sources have reported on the increasing practice of what is called “birthright tourism,” where pregnant non-U.S. citizens come to the U.S. to give birth so their children automatically receive U.S. citizenship. One news report revealed how an American hotel actually advertises its “birthright tourism” services. As a result, there are growing calls for congressional hearings to address this issue and examine a possible reinterpretation of the 14<sup>th</sup> amendment to the Constitution, under which “birthright tourism” is now allowed. Would you support or oppose such congressional hearings?

Support       Oppose       Undecided

Comments: If they pay the hospital bill and vote conservative when they grow up, so be it. My campaign is attracting Latinos in particular and they are conservative and we can break them from the Democratic Party. My big issue is that this process should require them going through the same naturalization process as non-citizens if they have not had a US education.

---

**ACT! for America federal candidate questionnaire, page six...**

14. The evidence is growing of active radical Islamist recruitment in our federal prison system. For example, four suspected terrorists brought to trial in Manhattan recently were former prison inmates. Would you support or oppose congressional hearings to determine to what extent this is happening in our federal prison system and the potential impact it has for our national security?

Support                       Oppose                       Undecided

Comments: We have never resolved punishment vs rehabilitation in prison management and one of the downsides of the punishment model is that it becomes a training center for crimes of all kind – not just radicals. We can do better if we get Democrats out of the way.